

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/729,682	Applicant(s) HILAL ET AL.	
	Examiner DEAN O. TAKAOKA	Art Unit 2817	

All Participants:

(1) DEAN O. TAKAOKA.

(2) ROBERT A. JENSEN.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Office noted informalities with the Examiner's Notice of Allowance dated March 10, 2008. By Applicant's amendment dated November 2, 2007 claim 12 was not amended by the Applicant. Applicant confirmed claim 12 should be amended to depend from claim 8. It was agreed a Supplemental Examiner's amendment would be done in order to place the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

